

Law Relating To Cyber Crimes: International Perspective

Vishal Srivastava¹, and Dr. Shashank Shekhar Singh²

¹Research Scholar, Faculty of Law Sai Nath University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

²Associate Professor, Faculty of Law Sai Nath University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Vishal Srivastava; vishal19april@gmail.com

Copyright © 2023 Made Vishal Srivastava et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT- Cybercrime can be said as the contemporary of overall criminal regulation. The using of contemporary articulation shows that cybercrime as a piece of overall criminal regulation has grown speedy, which started in 1970 and at this point creating until now. In its development, cybercrime has driven with various way. It suggests that isn't simply remembering guilty party for standard circumstance, yet moreover offender that as far as anyone knows including country as expert entertainer. Some kind of cybercrime with present day variety should be visible for example in 2005 when Chinese government utilized move activities to execute digital robbery to US. In 2007, Estonia finished digital assault that evidently by Russia that digitalized Estonia's organization and exchange associations. Around a million government's polluted computers that dispersed in kind of DDoS assault. One comparable case likewise happened in 2008 when war occurred among Georgia and Russia which put Moscow utilizes an assortment of military crusading procedures, including DDoS attacks. The extending openings for productivities, viability and by and large correspondences got additional client's droves. One more instance of digital assault also occurred in Iran on June 2022.

KEYWORDS- Security, Cybercrime, DDoS, Digital Wrongdoing International Legislations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Indeed, even while different essayists from these countries have endeavored to characterize "cybercrimes," none of the three nations have concocted an agreement on what it implies. In spite of the fact that it was changed in 2008, the expression 'Cybercrime' is neither defined nor utilized in the Data Innovation Act, 2000. India's correctional code does exclude "digital wrongdoing" at all with regards to digital wrongdoing, as per the ITAA 2008. As per the Unified Countries Manual for the Counteraction and Control of PC based offense, there has been a ton of conflict among specialists over what characterizes a PC offense or PC-based offense. Regardless of how long it is been, nobody can settle on what such words suggest. The words PC offense and PC-based offense will without a doubt be used reciprocally all through this handbook.

II. INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATIONS PERTAINING TO CYBER CRIME

The main authority meeting of 'Expert Gathering' of UN was held in year 2010. To achieve objective of digital protection and expectation of Digital Violations, East West Foundation (EWI) presented a Cybercrime Legitimate Working Gathering (CLWG) which give ideas for digital settlements in this regard.134 In the EU-US Highest point in 2010, the EU and the US likewise settled a functioning gathering on network safety and cybercrime. At this gathering, it was suggested that all EU Part States grow their cybercrime advancement program and direction their endeavors in assisting different nations with meeting the measures of the Chamber of Europe Show on Cybercrime so they might become parties. In May 2011, President Obama exhibited that global regulation would expect a capability in US digital protection orchestrating, yet he moreover showed that it would be worldwide regulation as translated by the people who advocate a sweeping right of the US to rely upon power. This is tracked down in the Global Technique for The internet; the White House announced [14].

A. Requirement of International Laws

There was pressing necessity for global regulation. The elements of law of countries are the accompanying under as:

- To keep up with worldwide agreement, security and harmony;
- To foster agreeable relations among countries;
- To accomplish participation worldwide in handling issues;
- To resolve global questions by quiet means;
- To give the right of self-segregation to individuals;
- To give key opportunities and human rights.

III. DUTIES OF STATE UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW

The right of State is to force undertakings over others for non-encroachment of common freedoms of others. In the event that any individual encroached or commits break of his commitment saved in rules of overall guidelines, then other is responsible for such offense or infringement. It truly intends that - at whatever point an obligation created by any sort of rule of worldwide regulation is penetrated by any sort of act or non - incorporation of a state it is considered responsible to which the demonstration is

credited. Article 1 of the Draft Articles ready by the Global Regulation commission indicated that each global demonstration illegitimately done includes overall obligation on the state and furthermore this connects with all states.¹³⁷.

A. Preventive Mechanism of UN against Cyber Crime

The Unified Countries, a Worldwide Association, set up for government help and headway of worldwide agreement likewise, security of person's countries. The Assembled Countries (UN) is-a between managerial, Overall affiliation set up on 24 October 1945 to progress overall interest. At first it has only 51 people States; at this point in excess of 193 section States. Bigger piece of countries are individuals from Joined Countries. Generally, they send their negotiators to UN base camp to address their nation in social affair and gatherings, in addition, to impart their points of view, ends and to take decision on overall issues of concern. The Unified Countries has it's settle in New York City. The central objective of Joined Nations are according to the accompanying:

- To stay aware of concordance on the planet;
- To organize and assist the countries;
- To foster the sensible everyday conditions for each and every world occupant;
- To forestall the conflict, encroachment of Common liberties and to oversee Worldwide Offenses;

Anything that the marvel or issues impacts world neighborhood be named as worldwide issues of stress for instance Digital Violations, as of now changed into an overall issue. In the digital domain explicitly, if it was the US that conveyed the Stuxnet disease, by then the world at this point has an instance of excitement to manhandle global regulation in cyberspace¹³⁹. Larger piece of countries are using web and we all in all acknowledge web affronts domains. The world is directly or indirectly overcomer of digital wrongdoings. We really want digital security endeavors for protection of fundamental information system, of public and confidential components with help of treaties, joint endeavors, plans and worldwide devices. The Assembled Countries after affirmation of digital issues find overall ways to make 'Digital Framework and Components' and 'Digital Equity Framework' to deal with all digital issues [15].

B. International Courts for Cyberspace

International Court of Justice

In The Hague Harmony Shows of 1899 and 1907, the Court was laid out during the 1900s. In 1913, it was renamed the Super durable Court of Discretion and migrated to The Hague, on account of Andrew Carnegie's monetary help. Be that as it may, After the Second Great War, the Long-lasting Court of Global Equity failed to exist as a different element from the Association. Despite the fact that the Court had stopped activities after The Second Great War, it gathered one final time in October 1945.

To guarantee that all UN individuals are joined under a solitary Rule of Courts, it was made under Joined Countries Sanction. In 1946, the Unified Countries Security Gathering made ICJ and fills in as the super lawful relationship for the Assembled Nations.¹⁴⁰

As a worldwide court, the Global Official courtroom has purview. From now onward, indefinitely quite a while, the

UN General Get together and the Security Committee chose 15 selected power who sat freely of each other. There can be something like one adjudicator for each nation, and decisions are made consistently for 33% of the individuals who are chosen. Impromptu designation of force might be made by the State to the case in view of a definitive goal. The domain is: As expected by worldwide regulation, the Court chooses issues of a legitimate kind for thought by the Court as per an understanding by the States Gatherings to the case being referred to. There are 16 workplaces approved by the Unified Countries to make such a sales and the Court expresses preventative impressions on certifiable solicitations as per their rules. If any inquiries occur on the ward, it is just the Court which picks. The choices are convincing and without bid [1, 2, 4].

C. International Criminal Court

At a meeting in Rome in 1998, the Court was laid out by 120 nations. A settlement laying out a worldwide lawbreaker court in Rome was endorsed in Rome and happened on July 1 of that year. 111 nations have sanctioned or consented to the Rome Rule. There are numerous association arrangements, including the Rome Resolution, that oversee the relationship.

There has until recently never been a long-lasting, universally perceived criminal court based on peaceful accords that guarantees people who execute grave global violations are considered totally responsible. Court doesn't supplant public courts, just respond to the criminal local area in the overall population. On the off chance that a State declines or can't charge under the Rome Rule, it will research and prosecute. Anybody who abuses the Resolution is dependent upon criminal indictment by the Court. Just those have confirmed the Rome Rule that might have the Worldwide Lawbreaker Court, yet those states should then completely take part in the examination and arraignment process. Article 5 restricts the extent of the ward to only those offenses that are the very pinnacle of worry to the entire overall organization. The article covers a great many subjects, decimation, wrongdoings against mankind, revulsions, and can't stand violations [5, 6].

Solitary States may be hesitant or unable to rehearse domain on a case. As demonstrated by article 17, hesitant is a State at anything that focuses it has every one of the reserves of being a shortfall of valid will to investigate or prosecute the wrongdoing. A State can't whenever it emits an impression of being a total or significant breakdown of its legitimate system, or by some clarification can't procure the upbraided or the crucial confirmation and statement or regardless ill-suited to do its methodology in light of its detachment.

IV. INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON USA

In 2015, The Digital protection Data Sharing Demonstration of 2015 was supported by the US. There is a requirement for nations to have for global strategy which based on the internet. This is a typical boundaries for the nations which concur or figuring out a worldwide digital regulation systems.

A. Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime

In year 2004, The Cybercrime Methods illustrated in

Chamber of Europe Convention, 147 which requires it be done by all part countries against digital offenses through establishment and same chance to progress overall coordinated effort in such way. Yet, it neglected to consider criminal exhibits executed by the non-state performers and shows of digital battling. The object of this Show is to advance and support worldwide joint effort in setting of capture of property, getting affirmations and assessment measure, etc. Next show is a way breaker and is excellent for its undertakings to make and energize worldwide investment for a tremendous extension, and the equivalent may be said about ecological change, when countries perceive that they share an extreme issue and should fill in collectively to address it [11].

There are three significant improvements occur by the gathering of Europe to orchestrate the cybercrime regulation. From these three instrument one is the main show which known as Show on Cybercrime laid out between 1997-2001. Meaningful criminal regulation arrangements are incorporated here, as well as procedural regulation arrangements and necessities for global collaboration. USA confirmed cybercrime arrangement from Gathering of Europe in year 200. 149 In December 2009, it was endorsed by the 46 states as well as sanctioned by the 26 states. Simultaneously, Extra Convention was endorsed by the 34 states and confirmed from fifteen conditions of them. Then, at that point, In 2007, the Gathering of Europe was opened for sign which known as a settlement laying out public regulations for security of kids from sexual double-dealing and abuse. 150 It was endorsed by 38 nations in December 2009, and confirmed by three of them before the finish of the year. 151 Later, on Spring second, 2012, the Assembled Realm joined the Gathering of Europe's worldwide cybercrime drive in Strasbourg. INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS REGULATING CYBER WORLD

On account of progress in the field of information correspondence advancement, criminal activities related with that have similarly extended decisively. Cybercrimes has all out carelessness for as far as possible, paying little mind to in made or non-modern countries, individuals, affiliations and state run administrations have dynamically perceived the tremendous risks of cybercrime on cash related and confidential interests. Geographical capriciousness in structures as well as nature of cybercrime constructs the difficulty to give game plans. Thusly, fighting cybercrime calls for support on worldwide level. Different global affiliations and states have recently made joint exercises in setting up overall rules of establishment and both a common and a worldwide regulation is required. One of the most outstanding joint efforts is between the US and China progression actually considering the way that they are the really two cybercrime source countries of the world [16].

B. Organization of American States (OAS)

Head legal officer or priests are suggested in OAS in 1999 at Peru. It was laid out for the gathering of government cybercrime trained professionals. In 2002, suggestions were endorsed in Trinidad and Tobago, laying out the accompanying mission for the Gathering of Specialists: "To think about the readiness and appropriate between American legitimate instruments and model regulation to reinforce hemispheric collaboration in

Battling cybercrime, taking into account guidelines connecting with security, the assurance of data, procedural perspectives, and wrongdoing avoidance."

In June, 2003¹⁵⁴, suggestion was considered at a gathering in Washington D.C. Then in fifth gathering which was additionally held in Washington on April, 2004, of the pastors or principal legal officer of America the accompanying discoveries and ideas have been acknowledged for show to the OAS General Gathering:

"That Part States assess the prudence of carrying out the standards of the Chamber of Europe Show on Cybercrime (2001), and think about consenting to that show."

In December, 2005, OAS coordinated a meeting with the collaboration of board of Europe and Spain at Madrid. The fundamental title of A critical finish of this gathering was: " Cybercrime is a worldwide test that requires a worldwide arrangement:

Then, at that point, in fifteenth December, 2005 a super durable gathering of OAS was settled that specialists of government bunch on. To talk about the results and ideas of the fifth Pastoral Gathering of Equity Clergymen on April 28-30, 2004. From that point forward, Specialists of the Public authority bunch met in Washington, February on Cybercrime.

V. THE ASIA PACIFIC ECONOMIC COOPERATION (APEC)

In 2002, APEC at meeting which dedicated to:

"Try to order a thorough arrangement of regulations connecting with digital protection and cybercrime that are predictable with the arrangements of worldwide lawful instruments, including Joined Countries General Gathering Goal 55/63 (2000) and Show on Cybercrime (2001) by October 2003."

Then in November 2004, an ecclesiastical gathering which coordinated in Santiago (Chile), for the requirement for homegrown regulations to be passed as per The 2001 Cybercrime Show and related Joined Countries General Gathering Goals are examples of worldwide lawful instruments, to improve the singular economies' ability to battle cybercrime.

A. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)

For a long time, the OECD gave PC security rules for nations across the world. There are a sum of 30 individuals in the group. As per the OECD, a specialist bunch was set up in 1983 to address advanced bad behavior and criminal regulation change. This was trailed by a rundown of records, like unlawful access, harm to PC information or projects, and keeping an eye on PCs in 1985 as offenses against mystery and uprightness, among other things.¹⁵⁶ There are a sum of 30 individuals in the group. As per the OECD, a specialist bunch was set up in 1983 to address PC wrongdoing and criminal regulation change. This was trailed by a rundown of reports, for example, unlawful addition unapproved admittance to, degenerate PC information or projects, or in any case inflict damage and keeping an eye on PCs in 1985 as offenses against mystery and trustworthiness, in addition to other things.

B. United Nations

In 1994¹⁵⁸, to assist with combatting PC related

wrongdoing, the Unified Countries delivered a manual. The principal focal point of Joined Countries are on PC wrongdoing issues. It is expected for the Rebuilding of the overall set of laws in both substance and methodology, security of individual data from programmers, and global cooperation. For the security of kids, UNICEF (Joined Countries Youngsters' Asset), under its Show on the Privileges of the Kid, has taken a unique interest in kid sexual entertainment 159.

In April, 2005 at Bangkok, there was an eleventh Joined Countries PC related wrongdoings ignited the making of the Congress for Wrongdoing Counteraction and Law enforcement. The

UN is presently drafting an UN Show on Cybercrime to develop the Chamber of Europe's endeavors, as indicated by data shared at this gathering, as well with respect to the safeguarding the freedoms of people, as well as customer protection.

C. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law

The Unified Countries General Gathering supported Goal 2205(XXI) on December 17, 1966, laying out the Assembled Countries Commission on Worldwide Exchange Regulation, with an end goal to solidify and bring together global exchange regulation (UNCITRAL). It depends on the UNCITRAL Model Regulation on Web based business, which turned out in 1998 and is still active. The Indian Data Innovation Demonstration of 2000 was passed in India as an initial step, as per the UNCITRAL Manual for sanctioning. It was taken on the Internet business. Thusly, report was submitted to the sixth board. During the board of trustees, goal was passed on sixteenth December, 1996. Then, at that point, in 30th January 1997, General gathering of UN took on The UNCITRAL supported the Model Regulation on Web based business too. In any case, the IT Act is established on the UNCITRAL Model Regulation's standards. Global model regulation, for instance, were depicted, and authoritative rules [5].

VI. CONVENTIONS ON CYBERCRIMES

A. Budapest Convention on Cyber Crime

This is the first worldwide show which held in France. The Show on Cybercrime was authoritatively sent off in Budapest on November 23rd, 2001 by the Committee of Europe's 48 part states.¹⁶⁰ from which 4 non - individuals were engaged with drafting cycle like Canada, South Africa, Japan and US. In this show, non - part can sign as well as ratify.¹⁶¹ It was the first since everlastingly interracial settlement on criminal offenses did against or with the help of PC associations, for instance, the Internet.¹⁶² The show oversees offenses related to copyright infringement, extortion related to laptops, youngster porn and association security related offences.¹⁶³ It wraps an overview of procedural powers like missions and block endeavor of material on PC associations. Its fundamental objective, as enlightened in the preface, it to seek after a run of the mill criminal plan zeroed in on the affirmation from global co-movement and suitable regulation are two different ways that society might battle cybercrime.[12,13,14].

Article 24 of the Show manages worldwide collaboration

in the space of removal, shared legitimate guide, and the formation of a nonstop organization between public policing to assist with working with such help with terms of contact focuses (Workmanship 35). There are 39 member nations over in this organization. G8166 was first settled in 1975.

B. European Convention on Cybercrimes

The Show became successful on June 2001 with the motivation to seek after a common criminal game plan against cybercrime Close by the Board of Europe the Show was set apart by US, Japan, Canada, etc It was the chief global settlements on wrongdoings executed using web or other PC associations. It has basically three focuses which integrate:

- Harmonization between significant regulations
- To Adjust Procedural regulations
- Execute a strong game plan of Worldwide Co-movement

Nevertheless, its essential community is to oversee infringements of copyright, kid erotic entertainment, and PC related extortion and encroachment of association security.

The European Wrongdoing Issues Panel (CDPC) inspects all parts of criminal regulation. There is a framework in the show for conveying between the gatherings which concerns carried out issues, legitimate, strategy, and specialized changes, and as per Article 44 of the Convention.¹⁶⁷ In Walk 2006, various issues and expected alterations to the Show are brought up in the Article 44 conversation, including issues, lawful, arrangement, and mechanical movements.¹⁶⁸

C. Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime

The justification behind this Convention, which came into power on first Walk 2006, is to improve, as between the Gatherings to condemn the scattering of extremist and xenophobic material over PC systems, similarly as risks and attacks animated by bias or xenophobia. This show sees that public and global regulation should give adequate legal responses to declaration of a biased person and xenophobic nature submitted through PC systems.

Affirmation of Unfamiliar Decisions This was the show to deal with the issue related to particular and multilateral settlement in the subject of prerequisite of new judgment.

VII. CONVENTIONS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS RELATED CYBERCRIMES

There are various shows on licensed innovation Right has been discussion about follow:

A. Berne Show

The Show was held in Paris on fourth May, 1896 and was rethought time to time in 1908, 1914, 1928, 1948, 1967, 1971 and 1979. This show gets the theoretical, legitimate and inventive works privileges. The show similarly makes it expected to guarantee the understandings, varieties, plans of music and various changes of a theoretical or imaginative work as novel works without inclination to the copyright in the principal work.

B. Rome Show

This was the show gotten by part's nations of the WIPO on 26 Oct, 1961, Safeguarding Craftsmen, Record Organizations, and Telecom Organizations. This show sees copyright protection to the maker of a work to the creators and owners of explicit, genuine indications of safeguarded development, for instance, audiocassettes, DVDs, recording gadgets and quick or indirect reproducer for which they have not concurred. The Show loosens up security to the presentation of performers.

World Licensed innovation Association Copyright Arrangement (WIPO)

This arrangement is figured on a mission to get the honors of gigantic public interest and makers in insightful and creative works in an effective and bound together manner. Its driving parts were the social change, money related and social improvement as a result of movement in information development, which mentioned show of new principles and rule, interpretation of old ones. It reinforces the splendid norm of central copyright confirmation.

World Protected innovation Association Exhibitions and Phonograms Deal (WPPT)

It's a global settlement for guaranteeing the honors of performers and producers in a strong and uniform way. It fulfills the interest for confirmation of news source in wake of movement in Data Technology.

C. G8

In 2005, the Gleneagles meeting, handling IPR security and falsifying which was converses with battling on the web robbery while further developing fake item ID and prevention by means of online distribution.[70]

D. Comparative concentrate between UK, USA and INDIA

While the Unified Realm, the US, and India are famous nations all through the globe, the US is the most seasoned and generally settled, with a constitution sanctioned in 1789. While before 1947, India was an English settlement, and the Indian Constitution produced results in 1950, India was at this point not a state. Additionally, the circumstance in the Unified Realm is unmistakable from wherever else. Regardless of the way that the Unified Realm is a vote based country, the head of state is in any case the ruler. Nonetheless, it contrasts from those of the US and India in that it isn't classified. Just by supporting it by a major greater part and sending it to the ruler for his endorsement, Parliament in the UK might endorse any regulation or change. While the US is a genuine administrative republic, with independent constitutions for each state, India is more semi regulatory, with a solitary constitution for the entire nation however a split area of activity between the focal government and the states. Albeit the Unified Realm doesn't have a bureaucratic structure, it has a unitary arrangement of government. Central government gives state boards a voice in modifying the constitution, yet under a unitary type of government, just Parliament has the position to roll out such improvements. Parliament in the Unified Realm has the power to alter the constitution as a feature of the ordinary sanctioning cycle. The constitution correction is more unmistakable in the US and India than in the UK. Its significance ascends to another level when the overall set of laws is government in nature. The presence of state lawmaking bodies in the Government

system is moreover given as a project to guarantee that the Government set-up isn't modified exclusively at the impulse of the Bureaucratic Parliament. For now, we will assess the remedial measures taken by these three nations.

E. Offence related to cyber-crimes in international scenario

The US is the origin of the Web, and in 1969, the primary PC was utilized in a criminal examination in the province of New York City. Digital Wrongdoings are not ordered lawfully in the USA. The PC Abuse Demonstration of 1990 in the Unified Realm separates cybercrime into three classes:

- Wrongdoing against the mystery, dependability and availability of PC data and structures
- PC based Offenses
- Content based Offenses

Indian cybercrimes are managed under "Offenses" of Section XI of the Data Innovation Demonstration of 2000, which covers many offenses carried out electronically utilizing computers, PC structures, and PC associations.

F. Current situation of India for implementation of international cyber mechanism

As per the Web Wrongdoing Objection Center (IC3) by FBI in its cybercrime report, 2019 has revealed that India stands third among top 20 countries of the world which are overcomers of Digital Violations. According to the report generally cases enlisted in 2019 were related to phishing, non-portion/non transport and coercion. The report uncovered that the most outrageous public technique for cybercrime is informing, counterfeit messages and phony locales. FBI urges clients to continue to declare the complaints as it helps the law approval associations to fight Digital Violations in better way. The absolute cybercrime cases were enlisted 44,000 in the year 2019 and this isn't the finished number of infringement that happened. This figure states simply that the cases determined with computerized cell or the police. Various assessments on the web revealed that cybercrime are under declared in India. Another investigation on "kid security" communicated that 9.2% of the 630 children and youngsters in Delhi and NCR faces digital harassing anyway half of them for instance 4.6% never paid all due respects to the computerized cell. It evidently shows that India would have situated first on the rundown assuming all the cybercrime cases were enlisted. Digital harassing or online provocation has gotten exceptionally typical among teenagers as they enjoy more hours on web conversely, with adults and because of Covid situation also there has been an outrageous development in the web use among students which has provoked psychotic use that is obsession. More hours on web made them more leaned to digital tormenting or digital badgering interestingly, with grown-up netizens. The kid who face a digital harassing or a more prominent measure of 13 to 18 years age. Friend pressure is one explanation of digital harassing. Some self-destruction cases are heard because of digital harassing. India has now seen the essential of a strong order and system structure for expectation of digital assaults. Indian regulations fundamentally integrate genuine part to oversee digital unlawful terrorizing, digital observation, data thievery, hacking, etc to achieve this fair, groundwork of a Public Counter Illegal intimidation Community is

proposed and to laid out this Middle a basic network protection system[10,11]].

VIII. CONCLUSION

The Govt. of India for execution of counter digital psychological warfare methodology, pronounce a framework for underpinning of a long-lasting Joint Working Gathering (JWG) 176 in participation with private areas (considering PPP Model). It is thorough of groundwork of four pilot projects. It further gives underpinning of a testing lab for assessment, testing and assortment of information of shortcomings of fundamental information establishment and setting up of a multi-disciplinary spot for significance. The strength further developing components are exceptionally pivotal in this unique circumstance. It previously referenced that-India is needing further developing programming insurance, hostile to infection instruments and to creating abilities of Web innovations and Network safety. In India, as in the US and the UK, a huge number of computerized regulations have been established or changed. Be that as it may, rather than implementing these standards, cybercrime is quickly expanding.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Computer Crime Research Centre, Fighting Cyber Crime, Mikhael Crutsaluk, web publication; pp - 2-3.
- [2] Computer world online news, "Investigator / publisher charges D.C. law firm with hacking" 11/11/99 cited in Net-spionage. The Global Threat Information, W. Boni 8s Dr. G.L. Kovacich B8sH, 2000 Reprint 2001 page - 123.
- [3] Cyber crime: prevention 8s investigation, Dr. S.C. Agarwal, CBI Bulletin, June 2015, p-15.
- [4] Cyber Laws in India, cyber crimes" posted in web page. For detail see <http://www.opera.com>; 9th June 2015.
- [5] Cyberporn Crimes: an analytical approach to investigation, M.K. Nagaraja, The Global Threat of Software Piracy, A. Levins, CBI Bulletin, July 2010,
- [6] History of cybererime, qxd 7.16.02, 4:08 pm, page - 52.
- [7] Pornography - free definition, web publication in <http://www.a21leg.state.az.us>,<http://www.lestate.ut.us>.
- [8] Rethinking Terrorism and Counter Terrorism Since 9/11, Studies in Conflict 8s Terrorism. 2017, Rrance Hoffmans article.P. 303.
- [9] Reviewing the History of Cyber crimes, Chapter-2, page-52, published in http://www.225_cybercrime02.qxd/7.16.15.
- [10] //www.225_cybercrime02.qxd/7.16.15.
- [11] Role of Law Enforcement Agencies in the Light of Growing Threat of Cyber Crimes, Smt. K. Kumaramangalam, The Global Threat of Software Piracy, A. Levins, CBI Bulletin, July 2014, 12.
- [12] Samantha Murphy, "4 Turning Points in the History of Cyber Crime", (Oct. 15,2012). Samiksha Godara, "Prevention and Control of Cyber Crimes in India: Problems,
- [13] Issues and Strategies" A Thesis submitted to M.D. University, (April, 2013).
- [14] Syed Mohd. Uzair, "Cyber Crime and Cyber Terrorism in India", A Thesis submitted to Aligarh Muslim University, (2013).
- [15] Technocrime and terror against tomorrow and #8217; Organisation: what about cyber parks? Gattiker, U. and

Kelley, H., 1997, <http://www.hostnome.ncsa.com/directory:library>

- [16] Ms. Anuska Sharma, Pankaj Saraswat. (2021). Review of the Literature on Smart Grid Cybersecurity. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science and Technology (IJIRCST), 9(6), 253-256, doi:10.55524/ijircst.2021.9.6.56.